AELIAN. VARIA HISTORIA 5.5

'Επαμεινώνδας ἔνα εἶχε τρίβωνα καὶ αὐτὸν ῥυπῶντα· εἴ ποτε δὲ αὐτὸν ἔδωκεν εἰς γναφεῖον, αὐτὸς ὑπέμενεν οἴκοι δι' ἀπορίαν ἐτέρου. (Ael. VH 5.5)

Epaminondas had just one coat, and a dirty one at that; and whenever he sent it to the cleaner's, he stayed at home because he did not have another.

(N. G. Wilson, Aelian, Historical Miscellany [Loeb Classical Library, 1997])

This translation of καὶ αὐτὸν ῥυπῶντα ('and a dirty one at that') gives the sense we need. But the words mean something different: 'and it too was dirty (like Epaminondas)'. Cf. VH 12.1 πενομένη δὲ ἐκείνη καὶ τρεφομένη ὑπὸ πατρὶ καὶ αὐτῶι πένητι ('she was poor and was looked after by her father who was poor too'). See LSJ αὐτός I.8, KG 1.653 (f).

For $\alpha \vartheta \tau \delta \nu$ (a slip induced by the following $\alpha \vartheta \tau \delta \nu \dots \alpha \vartheta \tau \delta c$) read $\tau o \vartheta \tau \sigma \nu$. This is an example of ' $\kappa \alpha \imath \ o \vartheta \tau \sigma c \dots$ added to heighten the force of a previous word' (LSJ $o \vartheta \tau \sigma c$ C.V). LSJ gives three examples in illustration; KG 1.647.8 adds two more; Denniston (GP 291–2) repeats one of LSJ's. Here are fifty-two, from various authors, beginning with Aelian, who is rather fond of this turn of phrase.

Ael. VH 3.19 κουρὰν ... καὶ ταύτην ἀηδῆ (Wilson: ἀήθη codd.) Πλάτωνι, NA 4.8 πῶλον καὶ τοῦτον καλόν, 4.27 ὄνυχας καρτεροὺς ... καὶ τοῦτους μέντοι τοῖς τῶν λεόντων παραπληςίους, 6.58 ὀλίγοι ... καὶ οὖτοι τῶν ἱερέων, 12.44 ὀργάνωι τινὶ καὶ τοῦτωι ςυνήθει, 14.20 παίδας νεανίας ... καὶ τοῦτους ἀλιέας, 14.26 ἀντακαῖον καὶ τοῦτον ἀπαλόν, 16.15 οἰκίςκους τινὰς ςυμφορητοὺς ... καὶ τοῦτους γε οὖκ ἐν χωρίοις ὑπτίοις, 16.41 ὄφεις ... καὶ τοῦτους πτηνούς, fr. 148 φόρτον ... καὶ τοῦτον εὐάγκαλον.

Hdt. 7.129.2 ἐνὸς αὐλῶνος καὶ τούτου ςτεινοῦ, 1.147.2, 3.73.1, 5.44.2, 6.11.2, 9.122.2; Th. 4.55.2; X. Cyr. 5.3.33 ἐνὸς ἀνδρὸς καὶ τούτου οὕτω διακειμένου, 1.5.5, 4.2.40, 5.5.16, Ages. 1.2, An. 2.5.21, HG 6.4.28, Mem. 2.6.27, Oec. 2.5, 3.4; D. 24.16 νόμωι . . . ένὶ . . . καὶ τούτωι τῶν πώποτ ἐν ὑμῖν τεθέντων αἰςχίςτωι καὶ δεινοτάτωι, 18.20, 19.120, 21.73, 54.22; Aeschin. 3.229; Arist. EN 1122°3, HA 523°28, 622°3, PA 688°33; Thphr. HP 4.7.4; Plb. 2.12.3; D.S. 11.81.6, 19.13.3; D.Chr. 32.40 ἐνὸς . . . κιθαρωιδοῦ καὶ τούτου ςυνήθους, 62.1 ἐνὸς ἀνδρὸς . . . καὶ τούτου ςφόδρα ἐγγὺς ὄντος, 2.45, 31.2, 31.64, 36.4, 55.20, 66.18; Luc. Scyth. 4; Hld. 10.21; D.L. 7.140 ἔνα τὸν κόςμον . . . καὶ τοῦτον πεπεραςμένον.

Queens' College, Cambridge

JAMES DIGGLE

PIETAS AND POLITICS: EUSEBIA AND CONSTANTIUS AT COURT

The history of Ammianus Marcellinus states that Constantius II (337–61) renamed the Pontic diocese *Pietas*, in honour of his second wife, Aurelia Eusebia (353–60?). *Pietas* refers to sacred dutiful conduct toward all, specifically gods, state, and family. Constantius' purpose in renaming the diocese poses an interesting question because it holds an important key to understanding the role Eusebia played in supporting her husband's position as emperor. In other words, what kind of part could an empress play in the Late Empire? Constantius may indeed have been honouring his wife. Why, however, would he honour her so? Is *pietas* just a play on the empress's name, which means 'piety' in Greek, or is there a deeper meaning? A search of late Imperial evidence on this woman and etymology on this word is needed.

¹ Amm. Marc. 17.7.6. See also A. H. M. Jones, *PLRE* 1, 300.